IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3593 OF 2003

Vijay S. Bhinge & Anr. ...Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

Shri Venkatesh A. Shastry for the Petitioners.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI,J.

DATE : 3rd FEBRUARY, 2006.

P.C. :

. After hearing Shri Shastri - learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners alongwith Shri Sugdare, I find no reason to interfere with the orders passed by the Courts below in my limited jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The orders under challenge take note of the fact that the tenant after having obtained a certificate under Section 32(M) of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, has stepped into the shoes of the owner and has dealt with the property as belonging to him. Thus, he has acquired rights. It is not open for the owner, any longer, to question the transaction entered into by tenant. Petition dismissed.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI,J.)